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Dear Community Members:
The Montclair Public Schools publishes an academic achievement report annually in an effort to provide insight into district and school-level outcomes on student performance measures required by the New Jersey State Department of Education.

This year's report—Achieving Our Goals: Academic Achievement Spring 2011—is a promising reflection of the efforts of our students and the work of our teachers. The report compares our achievement over time. The data contained herein include historic as well as current assessment results for the New Jersey Assessment of Skills and Knowledge (NJASK) Grades 3-8, the High School Proficiency Assessment (HSPA), and other benchmark measures in the form of comparative charts and graphs for both the district and individual schools.

Overall, the district is making great strides in raising achievement levels for all students. Our efforts to close achievement gaps among the various No Child Left Behind identified sub-groups are being realized. The report acknowledges that while our students continue to make progress, there is still work to do in support of student academic needs. To that end, the district continues to focus on the provision of targeted supports to students, differentiation of instruction in classrooms, and teacher professional development.

The report that follows and the presentation to the Montclair Board of Education are posted, for your convenience, on the district's website-www.montclair.k12.nj.us. I hope you find this information useful in understanding both our successes and our challenges.
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## Achieving Our Goals: Academic Achievement Spring 2011

Montclair Public Schools October 2011

## Montclair Public Schools District Goals

Goal 1: | To improve academic achievement for all students through |
| :--- |
| the use of differentiated instruction and performance |
| assessment to address diverse learning needs. |

Goal 2: $\quad$| To continue to achieve equality and improve efficiency in all |
| :--- |
| programs and services in an effort to serve all students more |
| effectively. |

Goal 3: $\quad$| To promote community engagement in examining |
| :--- |
| implications and needs related to emerging educational |
| issues. |

## Student Outcomes Drive Our Goals and Our Work

## Administrative Oversight

Review data to identify student needs, successful strategies, gaps to be addressed.

Monitor the quality of instruction provided to students.

Provide professional development for teachers related to district initiatives, improved teacher practice and performance, innovative methods of teaching in the $21^{\text {st }}$ century that are aligned to the NJCCCS, and the Common Core Standards.

## NJDOE 40 Indicators for AYP



## New NJDOE Benchmarks for AYP

| Content Area | Grade Span | AYP Benchmark <br> $2008-2010$ | AYP Benchmark <br> $2011-2013$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Language Arts | Elementary 3-5 | $59 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ |
|  | Middle School 6-8 | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ |
| Math | High School <br> Grade 11 | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ |
|  | Elementary 3-5 | $\mathbf{6 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 \%}$ |
| Middle School 6-8 | $61 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ |  |
| High School <br> Grade 11 | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ |  |

## Comparison with DFG

- District Factor Grouping (DFG): This designation is used to compare demographically similar school districts (including socio-economics).
- Comparison of levels of student achievement between our students and students in other districts where demographics are similar to those of Montclair (DFG "I").
- There are 103 districts in DFG"I", including Montclair.


## Comparison with DFG \& State

|  | NJASK 4 Language Arts |  | Above NJ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 | NJ Mean | DFG J Mean | Montclais Mean |
|  | Total | 204.7 | 218.2 | 215.5 |
|  | General | 210.3 | 223.0 | 221.6 |
|  | Sp Ed | 182.0 | 196.2 | 191.7 |
|  | Ec Dis | 189.5 | 197.3 | 191.0 |
|  | $\underline{W}$ | 212.2 | 218.5 | 226.9 |
|  | AA | 187.7 | 200.2 | 196.2 |
|  | A | 222.4 | 228.9 | 228.8 |
|  | H | $192.3$ | 203.9 | 206.1 |

## Comparison with DFG \& State

- NJASK 4 Mathematics

| Grade 4 <br> Math | NJ Mean | DFG I Mean | Montclair <br> Mean |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 229.2 | 244.3 | 240.5 |  |
| General | 234.6 | 249.1 | 244.4 |  |
| SpEd | 208.6 | 222.4 | 225.3 |  |
| Ec Dis | 211.8 | 218.4 | 213.7 |  |
| $\underline{W}$ | 237.8 | 244.4 | 254.1 |  |
| AA | 206.6 | 217.1 | 214.8 |  |
| A | 254.5 | 261.5 | 257.5 |  |
| $\underline{H}$ | 215.3 | 224.6 | 233.6 |  |

## Comparison with DFG \& State

Above NJ
Above NJ \&

- NJASK 8 Language Arts Literacy

DFG

| Grade 8 LAL | NJ | DFG ${ }^{\text {I }}$ Mean | Montclaj |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 223.4 | 237.0 | 234.1 |
| General | 229.3 | 241.6 | 238.9 |
| Sp Ed | 197.7 | 210.0 | 208.8 |
| Ec Dis | 208.4 | 217.1 | 214.6 |
| $\underline{W}$ | 230.3 | 236.9 | 244.6 |
| AA | 207.2 | 221.5 | 220.7 |
| A | 240.6 | 250.0 | 244.7 |
| H | 211.1 | 225.8 | 224.9 |

## Comparison with DFG \& State

## Above NJ

- NJASK 8 Mathematics

| Grade 8 <br> Math | NJ Mean | DFG MU <br> Mean |  | Montclair <br> Mean |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 222.5 | 241.5 | 236.4 |  |  |
| General | 231.4 | 248.8 | 244.3 |  |  |
| Sp Ed | 181.8 | 198.4 | 194.6 |  |  |
| Ec Dis | 201.4 | 208.9 | 202.7 |  |  |
| W | 232.0 | 241.0 | 256.3 |  |  |
| AA | 195.2 | 211.1 | 211.3 |  |  |
| A | 255.8 | 267.5 | 258.0 |  |  |
| H | 205.1 | 221.0 | 219.2 |  |  |

## Comparison with DFG \& State

- HSPA Grade 11 Language Arts Literacy

| Grade 1. <br> LAL | NJ Mean | DFG M" <br> Mean | Montclair <br> Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 229.9 | 241.2 | 234.8 |
| General | 235.9 | 245.3 | 241.2 |
| Sp Ed | 203.4 | 218.4 | 207.7 |
| Ec Dis | 216.1 | 223.3 | 218.0 |
| W | 236.0 | 242.2 | 245.9 |
| AA | 241.1 | 227.4 | 223.0 |
| A | 217.9 | 236.7 | 234.4 |
| H | 20.3 | 227.9 |  |

## Comparison with DFG \& State

- HSPA Grade 11 Mathematics

| Grade 11 <br> Math | NJ Mean | DFG M" <br> Mean | Montclair <br> Mean |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 222.8 | 238.1 | 228.8 |  |
| General | 229.6 | 243.8 | 236.4 |  |
| Sp Ed | 189.7 | 204.3 | 193.5 |  |
| Ec Dis | 204.9 | 211.8 | 204.1 |  |
| W | 199.3 | 239.1 | 246.7 |  |
| AA | 244.3 | 252.5 | 208.6 |  |
| A | 207.3 | 218.9 | 232.7 |  |
| H |  | 217.3 |  |  |

## The Montclair Edge: Language Arts

## Grade 08 LAL (NJASK8) CYCLE II Prof/AdvProf

## DISTRICT by GROUP: 2002-2011

* NOTE: NJ INCREASED THE NUMBER OF QUESTIONS AND RAISED THE STANDARDS FOR NJASK 5, 6, 7, 8 FROM SPRING 2008



## The Montclair Edge: Language Arts:

## Grade 08 LAL (NJASK8) CYCLE II Prof/AdvProf

 DISTRICT by ETHNICITY: 2002-2011* NOTE: NJ INCREASED THE NUMBER OF QUESTIONS AND RAISED THE STANDARDS FOR NJASK 5, 6, 7, 8 FROM SPRING 2008



## HSPA Comparison Language Arts

| Grade 11 HSPA | NJ Proficient \& Adv. Prof. 2011 | NJ Adv. Prof. 2011 | Montclair Proficient \& Adv. Prof: 2011 | Montclair Adv. <br> Prof. <br> 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 89.6\% | 20.8\% | 91.4\% | 31.1\% |
| General | 96.1\% | 24.5\% | 97.4\% | 36.3\% |
| Sp Ed | 61.7\% | 3.1\% | 66.2\% | 8.4\% |
| Ec Dis | 78.7\% | 6.1\% | 80.0\% | 12.4\% |
| W | 94.8\% | 26.0\% | 97.3\% | 47.1\% |
| AA | 78.1\% | 5.9\% | 86.7\% | 13.3\% |
| A | 94.8\% | 40.7\% | 85.0\% | 35.0\% |
| H | 80.4\% | 7.5\% | 84.4\% | 25.0\% |

## The Montclair Edge: Language Arts MHS HSPA LAL Results W and AA 2002-2011 <br> Prof+Adv Prof



## The Montclair Edge: Math

## Above NJ

Above
NJ \&
DFG

| Grade <br> Level | NJ <br> Prof/Adv <br> Prof <br> 2011 | NJ <br> Adv Prof <br> 2011 | Montclair <br> Prof/Adv <br> Prof <br> 2011 | Montclair <br> Prof/Adv <br> Prof <br> 2011 | Montclair <br> Prof <br> Adv Prof <br> 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $78 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| 4 | $79 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| 5 | $81 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

## The Montclair Edge: Math

$\times$ NJASK 3-5 Math scores indicate positive outcomes for Special Education population (compared with NJ)

| Grade Level | NJSPE <br> Prof/Adv <br> Prof <br> 2011 | NJSPE <br> Adv. <br> Prof. <br> 2011 | Montclair SPE <br> Prof/Adv Prof 2011 | Montclair SPE <br> Adv. <br> Prof. <br> 2011 | Montclair SPE Growth from 2010 to 2011 <br> Above NJ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 63.1\% | 24.1\% | 77.4\% | 34.3\% | $\begin{array}{ll} P & (-3.6 \%) \\ A P & (7.5 \%) \end{array}$ |
| 4 | 60.9\% | 16.6\% | 75\% | 24.6\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\&AP } \\ & (19 \% / 9.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 55.5\% | 16.9\% | 70.3\% | 25.9\% | $\begin{array}{ll} P & \text { 昷 (o.3\%) } \\ \text { AP } & (-0.6 \%) \end{array}$ |

## The Montclair Edge: Math at the Middle

× NJASK 6-8 Math scores indicate positive outcomes for Total Population (inclusive of all sub-groups).

| Grade <br> Level | NJ <br> Prof/Adv <br> Prof <br> 2011 | Montclair <br> Prof/Adv <br> Prof <br> 2010 | Montclair <br> Prof/Adv <br> Prof <br> 2011 | Montclair <br> Growth from <br> 2010 to 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $77 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $83 \%$ |  |
| 7 | $66 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $72 \%$ |  |
| 8 | $72 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $81 \%$ |  |

## ADP Algebra in Montclair

NEW JERSEY PROFICIENT \& ADVANCED PROFICIENT (7-12)
NJ Results Percentage

Proficient

> 28\%

7\%
Proficient

MONTCLAIR PROFICIENT \& ADVANCED PROFICIENT (7-12)

| Montclajis Percentage |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Results |  |

Proficient
41\%

Advanced Proficient

11\%

It should be noted that our Middle School students achieved 80\% Proficiency / Advanced Proficiency on the ADP Algebra Assessment in 2011

## The Montclair Edge: Math at the Middle Preparation for Higher Mathematics

| Populations | Enrollment | Prof / Adv Prof |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NJASK 8 Spring 2011 | 491 | $81 \%$ |
| NJDOE ADP Algebra I <br> Assesment Spring 2011 <br> (Middle Schools Only) | 260 | $80 \%$ |

## HSPA Comparison Math

| Grade 11 <br> HSPA | NJ <br>  <br> Adv. Prof. <br> 2011 | NJ Adv. <br> Prof. <br> 2011 | Montclair <br>  <br> Adv. Prof. <br> 2011 | Montclair <br> Adv. Prof. <br> 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $75.2 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $78.1 \%$ | 34.4\% |
| General | $83.7 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | $40.7 \%$ |
| Sp Ed | $34.5 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Ec Dis | $56.3 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| W | $84.8 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $95.1 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ |
| AA | $48.7 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| A | $91.7 \%$ | $54.2 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| H | $59.7 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | 15 |

## End Of Course Biology Assessment

Grade 9 NJDOE End of Course Biology Competency Test (NJBCT)

| Grade 9 <br> Biology | Montclair Proficie | Montclais Adv Prof | NJ <br> Proficient | NJ Adv Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 67\% | 23.6\% | 57\% | 19.3\% |
| General | 77\% | 28.2\% | 65\% | 22.6\% |
| Sp Ed | 21\% | 3.3\% | 20\% | 2.9\% |
| Ec Dis | 29\% | 1.0\% | 31\% | 5.0\% |
| W | 90\% | 38.7\% | 70\% | 24.2\% |
| AA | 38\% | 6.8\% | 29\% | 3.9\% |
| A | 90\% | 40.0\% | 81\% | 44.5\% |
| H | 55\% | 10.0\% | 36\% | 11.6\% |

## 2010 NJASK 4 LAL PARTIALLY PROF STUDENTS PERFORMANCE ON THE 2011 NJASK 5 LAL



## 2010 NJASK4 MATH PARTIALLY PROF STUDENTS PERFORMANCE ON THE 2011 NJASK 5 MATH
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## 2010 NJASK7 LAL PARTIALLY PROF STUDENTS PERFORMANCE ONTHE 2011 NJASK8 LAL
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## 2010 NJASK7 MATH PARTIALLY PROF STUDENTS PERFORMANCE ON THE 2011 NJASK8 MATH
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## NJASK 8 TUTORIAL STUDENTS' PREFORMANCE ON NJASK7 AND NJASK8 LAL

2010 NJASK7 LAL CSS
CANDIDATE SCORES


2011 NJASK8 LAL CSS
PROFICIENCY


## NJASK 8 TUTORIAL STUDENTS' PREFORMANCE ON NJASK7 AND NJASK8 MATH

2010 NJASK7 MATH CSS
CANDIDATE SCORES


2011 NJASK8 MATH CSS PROFICIENCY


## Montclair High School Student College and University Attendance by Ethnicity

|  | 1999 |  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  | 2009 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADS | 338 |  | 427 |  | 451 |  | 426 |  | 442 |  |
| W | 124 | 86\% | 180 | 95\% | 218 | 98\% | 195 | 95\% | 225 | 94\% |
| $A A$ | 118 | 69\% | 143 | 82\% | 157 | 83\% | 152 | 86\% | 148 | 91\% |
| H | 8 | 66\% | 25 | 73\% | 16 | 76\% | 26 | 96\% | 26 | 96\% |
| A | 11 | 100\% | 14 | 93\% | 10 | 100\% | 16 | 100\% | 10 | 100\% |
| Total | 261 |  | 362 |  | 400 | 87\% | 389 | 91\% | 409 | 93\% |

## The Value of Each Child

- This data set tells a story; provides threads in a tapestry of learning.
- As educators, we strive never to forget that the data represents the levels to which each child demonstrates attainment of skills and knowledge.
- While this is in no way the whole story, Montclair Teachers and Administrators understand that it is critical that we do not lose sight of the learning outcomes for one single child.


# MONTCLAIR PUBLIC SCHOOLS <br> EXECUTIVE SUMMARY <br> ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT REPORT 

## LANGUAGE ARTS LITERACY

2010-2011

Montclair Public School students have demonstrated growth in the area of Language Arts Literacy over time; however, a change in the cut point required for proficiency at grades three and four requires spring 2009 data to be deemed as baseline. The district focus on a balanced approach to Language Arts, inclusive of both reading and writing contributes to student understanding of the New Jersey Core Curriculum Content Standards (NJCCCS), on which state assessments are constructed.

The requirements for the level of proficiency on the NJASK for grades 3 and 4 were adjusted for the spring 2009 assessment. The assessments contained greater emphasis on open-ended questions (constructed responses) and reading, with the introduction of a new writing task, speculative writing. This more rigorous cut point was again used during the spring 2010, and spring 2011 administrations of NJASK.

NJ ASK Adjustment in Proficiency Cut-Point for Grade 3

| Grade 3 | 2008 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Points Required for <br> Proficiency | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| Percent Correct for <br> Proficiency | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |

Table 1
NJ ASK Adjustment in Proficiency Cut-Point for Grade 4

| Grade 4 | 2008 | 2009 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Points Required for <br> Proficiency | 43 | 59 | 59 |
| Percent Correct for <br> Proficiency | 44 | 54 | 54 |

Table 2

In addition, the benchmark percentage needed for schools to achieve AYP in the area of Language Arts
Literacy was also raised in accordance with requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

Language Arts Literacy Percent Proficient/Advanced Proficient on NJASK / HSPA needed for AYP

| Content Area | Grade Span | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Language Arts <br> Literacy | Elementary <br> (Grades 3-5) | $59 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
|  | Middle School <br> (Grades 6-8) | $72 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
|  | High School <br> (Grade 11) | $85 \%$ | $92 \%$ |

Table 3

## NJ ASK 3

Grade 3 students continue to show growth in the area of Language Arts Literacy, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage. It should be noted that NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to 59\% due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score, as noted above. This target moved to $79 \%$ for Grades 3-5 in 2011.

- $77.7 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 3 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $1.3 \%$ below the new State target.
- $82.2 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $3.2 \%$ above the new State target.
- $51.4 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $27.6 \%$ below the new state target. It should be noted however that Students with Disabilities performed 20\% higher on the NJASK3 in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $46.4 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $32.6 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that Economically Disadvantaged students performed $15.4 \%$ higher on the NJASK 3 in 2011 than the same subgroup performed in 2010.
- $54.6 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $24.4 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that African American students performed $9.6 \%$ higher on the NJASK 3 in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $76.6 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $2.6 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that Hispanic students performed 10.6\% higher on the NJASK3 in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.


## NJ ASK 4

Grade 4 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the NJ State benchmark percentage. Again, NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $59 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score in 2009. This target moved to $79 \%$ in 2011.

- $73.3 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 4 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $5.7 \%$ below the new State target.
- $80.4 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $1.4 \%$ above the new State target.
- $46.6 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $32.4 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that Students with Disabilities performed $14.6 \%$ higher on the NJASK4 in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $41.7 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $37.3 \%$ below the new State target.
- $49.4 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $29.6 \%$ below the new State target.
- $70.5 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $8.5 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that Hispanic students performed 7.5\% higher on the NJASK4 in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.


## NJ ASK 5

Grade 5 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the NJ State benchmark percentage. Again, NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $59 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score in 2009. This target moved to $79 \%$ in 2011.

- $78.9 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 5 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, which when rounded meets the new State target.
- $87.3 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $8.3 \%$ above the new State target.
- $39.6 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $39.4 \%$ below the new State target.
- $50.5 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $28.5 \%$ below the state target.
- $56 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $28.5 \%$ below the new State target.
- $89.6 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $10.6 \%$ above the new State target. It should also be noted that Hispanic students performed $26.6 \%$ higher on the NJASK5 in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.


## NJ ASK 6

Grade 6 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the new NJ State benchmark percentage of $86 \%$.

- $74.8 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 6 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $11.8 \%$ below the new State target.
- $82.6 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $3.4 \%$ below the new State target.
- $35.6 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $50.4 \%$ below the new State target.
- $52.8 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $33.2 \%$ below the new State target.
- $53.8 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $32.2 \%$ below the new State target.
- $74.4 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $11.6 \%$ below the new State target.


## NJ ASK 7

Grade 7 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the new NJ State benchmark percentage of $86 \%$.

- $77.8 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 7 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $8.2 \%$ below the new State target.
- $87.2 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $1.2 \%$ above the new State target.
- $27.3 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $58.7 \%$ below the state target.
- $43.6 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $42.4 \%$ below the new State target.
- $56.4 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $29.6 \%$ below the new State target.
- $70.6 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $15.4 \%$ below the State target. It should be noted however that Hispanic students performed 3.6\% higher on the NJASK7 in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.


## NJ ASK 8

Grade 8 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the new NJ State benchmark percentage of $86 \%$.

- $90.5 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 8 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $4.5 \%$ above the new State target.
- $95.1 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $9.1 \%$ above the new State target.
- $66.6 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $19.4 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that Students with Disabilities performed 4.6\% higher on the NJASK8 in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $77.7 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $8.3 \%$ below the new State target.
- $81.8 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $4.2 \%$ below the new State target.
- $89.4 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $3.4 \%$ above the new State target.


## HSPA

Grade 11 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the new NJ State benchmark percentage of $92 \%$.

- $91.4 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 11 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $0.6 \%$ below the new State target.
- $97.4 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $5.4 \%$ above the new State target.
- $66.2 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $25.8 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that Students with Disabilities performed $8.2 \%$ higher on the HSPA in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $80 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $12 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that Economically Disadvantaged students performed 7\% higher on the HSPA in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $86.7 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $5.3 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that African American students performed $8.7 \%$ higher on the HSPA in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $84.4 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $7.6 \%$ the new State target.


## RECOMMENDATIONS

The data generated and the information gained from the NJASK and HSPA will be analyzed and used by teachers and administrators to directly inform instruction. The information provides teachers the means to develop appropriate differentiated instructional strategies to ensure that students are afforded every opportunity to learn and achieve. Language Arts teachers should review the Language Arts (Writing and Reading) cluster scores, as well as the district's standards-based curriculum and teacher-developed curriculum maps in order to properly differentiate instruction for all students. In addition, technology based instruction and assessment tools such as DRA2, Read 180, System 44, Learnia and Study Island should continue to be employed as a means for supporting student academic growth.

Teachers of students in need of academic support inclusive of students with special needs should continue to implement differentiated instruction strategies to increase students' transfer of knowledge. To that end, professional development in the use of research-based instructional programs such as DRA2, Learnia, Ramp Up Literacy, Read 180, and System 44 should continue to be provided. Student progress will be monitored at both the district and school levels.

These instructional strategies will continue to be supported via the services of the Department of Instruction, The Department of Pupil Services, and the District Literacy Consultant during the 2011-12 school year.

The district will continue to look closely at programs in place, transitions from elementary to middle and from middle to high school language arts, and alignment of the curriculum to the newly adopted Common Core Standards for Language Arts, in preparation for implementation as required by the timetable provided by NJDOE.

## MATHEMATICS <br> 2010-2011

Montclair Public School students have demonstrated long term growth over time. However, a change in the cut-point required for proficiency at grades 3 and 4 requires the treatment of spring 2009 data as baseline at those two grade levels. Developmental growth over time has been sustained with systemic professional development of content knowledge and pedagogy with the Creative University School Partnership (CUSP) at Montclair State's Professional Resource in Science and Math (PRISM) Center and the New Jersey Statewide Systemic Initiative (NJSSI). New to the District Math teachers were trained in grade level content knowledge as well as strategies to present concepts for standards-based math, at Montclair State. Middle School Mathematics teachers participated in on-site, professional development provided by a consultant from Stevens Institute of Technology (CEISE). In addition, district Algebra teachers at the middle and high school levels engaged in articulation sessions throughout the 2010-2011 school year. These articulation sessions continue will during the 2011-2012 academic year.

The requirements for the level of proficiency on the NJASK for grades 3 and 4 were adjusted for the spring 2009 assessment. The assessments contained new features such as greater emphasis on numerical operations, additional constructed response items, and a greater number of items overall. The tables below represent the adjustment to the cut-point for proficiency effective spring 2009, and its continuance in 2011.

NJ ASK Adjustment in Proficiency Cut-Point for Grade 3

| Grade 3 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Points Required for <br> Proficiency | 33 | 50 | 50 |
| Percent Correct for <br> Proficiency | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |

Table 1

NJ ASK Adjustment in Proficiency Cut-Point for Grade 4

| Grade 4 | 2008 | 2009 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Points Required for <br> Proficiency | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 50 | 50 |
| Percent Correct for <br> Proficiency | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 50 |

Table 2

In addition, the benchmark percentage needed for schools to achieve AYP in the area of Mathematics was also raised in accordance with requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

Mathematics Percent Proficient/Advanced Proficient on NJASK / HSPA needed for AYP

| Content Area | Grade Span | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | Elementary <br> (Grades 3-5) | $66 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
|  | Middle School <br> (Grades 6-8) | $61 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
|  | High School <br> (Grade 11) | $74 \%$ | $86 \%$ |

Table 3

## NJ ASK 3

Grade 3 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage. It should be noted that NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $66 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score in 2009. This target moved to $83 \%$ in 2011.

- $87.7 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 3 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $4.7 \%$ above the new State target.
- $91.2 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $8.2 \%$ above the new State target.
- $71.4 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $11.6 \%$ below the new State target.
- $62.2 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $20.8 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however, that Economically Disadvantaged students performed 13.2\% higher on the NJASK3 in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $71 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $12 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however, that African American students performed 8\% higher on the NJASK3 in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $86.7 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $3.7 \%$ above the new State target. It should also be noted that Hispanic students performed $6.7 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.


## NJ ASK 4

Grade 4 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage.
Again, NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $66 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score in 2009. This target moved to $83 \%$ in 2011.

- $87.6 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 4 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $4.6 \%$ above the new State target. It should also be noted that the TOTAL student population performed $4.6 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same group performed in 2010.
- $88.9 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $5.9 \%$ above the new State target.
- $83.5 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $0.5 \%$ above the new State target. It should also be noted that Students with Disabilities performed $27.5 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same group performed in 2010.
- $67.9 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $15.1 \%$ below the new State target. It should also be noted that Economically Disadvantaged students performed $13.9 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same group performed in 2010.
- $72.7 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $10.3 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however, that African American students performed $5.7 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same group performed in 2010.
- $78.7 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $4.3 \%$ below the new State target. It should also be noted that Hispanic students performed 9.7\% higher in 2011 than the same group performed in 2010.


## NJ ASK 5

Grade 5 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to level of the New Jersey state benchmark percentage.
Again, NJDOE lowered the benchmark target for proficiency to $66 \%$ due to the implementation of a more rigorous cut score in 2009. This target moved to $83 \%$ in 2011.

- $90.8 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 5 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $7.8 \%$ above the new State target.
- $94.6 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $11.6 \%$ above the new State target.
- $72.8 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $10.2 \%$ below the new State target. However, it should be noted that Students with Disabilities performed $2.8 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $74.2 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $8.8 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that Economically Disadvantaged Students performed $6.2 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $80.2 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $2.8 \%$ below the new State target.
- $89.7 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $6.7 \%$ above the new State target. It should also be noted that Hispanic students performed $6.7 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.


## NJ ASK 6

Grade 6 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to level of the new New Jersey state benchmark percentage of $80 \%$. (see table 3, p. 8)

- $82.2 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 6 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $2.2 \%$ above the new State target.
- $91.7 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $11.7 \%$ above the new State target.
- $37.9 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $42.1 \%$ below the new State target.
- $59.8 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $20.2 \%$ below the new State target.
- $66.6 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $13.4 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that African American students performed $5.6 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $74.3 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $5.7 \%$ below the new State target.


## NJ ASK Grade 7

Grade 7 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to level of the new New Jersey state benchmark percentage of $80 \%$. (see table 3, p. 8)

- $71.7 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 7 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $8.3 \%$ below the new State target.
- $79.1 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $0.9 \%$ below the new State target.
- $31.2 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $48.8 \%$ below the new State target.
- $40.4 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $39.6 \%$ below the new State target.
- $51.9 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $28.1 \%$ below the new State target.
- $64.8 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $15.2 \%$ below the new State target.


## NJ ASK 8

Grade 8 students continue to show growth in the area of Mathematics, however, some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to level of the new New Jersey state benchmark percentage of $80 \%$. (see table 3, p. 8)

- $80.7 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 8 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $0.7 \%$ above the new State target. It should also be noted that the TOTAL population of Grade 8 students performed $7.7 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same group performed in 2010.
- $88 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $8 \%$ above the State target. It should also be noted that General Education students performed 5\% higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $41.1 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $38.9 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that Students with Disabilities performed 9.1\% higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $57.4 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $22.6 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however, that Economically Disadvantaged students performed $17.4 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $66.5 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $13.5 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however, that African American students performed $14.5 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $63.1 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $16.9 \%$ below the new State target.


## HSPA - Grade 11

Grade 11 students continue to show growth, however some groups of students have not achieved proficiency to the level of the new NJ State benchmark percentage of $86 \%$. (see table 3, p. 8)

- $78.1 \%$ of the TOTAL student population at Grade 11 performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $7.9 \%$ below the new State target.
- $86.1 \%$ of the General Education students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, meeting the new State target.
- $39.3 \%$ of the Students with Disabilities performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, 46.7\% below the new State target. It should be noted however, that Students with Disabilities performed $11.3 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $52 \%$ of the Economically Disadvantaged Students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $34 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however that Economically Disadvantaged students performed 16\% higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $59.2 \%$ of the African American students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $26.8 \%$ below the new State target. It should be noted however, that African American students performed $6.2 \%$ higher in 2011 than the same sub-group performed in 2010.
- $62.5 \%$ of the Hispanic students performed at the Proficient/Advanced Proficient level, $23.5 \%$ below the new State target.


## RECOMMENDATIONS

The data generated and the information gained from the NJASK and HSPA, should be analyzed by teachers and administrators to directly inform instruction. The information provides teachers the means to develop appropriate differentiated instructional strategies to ensure that students are afforded every opportunity to learn and achieve. Math teachers should review math cluster data, the district standardsbased curriculum, teacher developed curriculum maps, and instructional pacing in order to properly differentiate instruction for all students. Differentiation occurs in the regular classroom setting and as part of the SAIL model, STARS, and programs for Students with Disabilities. The district mid-year and end of year assessments in mathematics will continue at all levels.

In addition, technology based instruction and assessment tools, such as Study Island, and Learnia should be employed as a means of supporting student academic growth.

Teachers of students in need of academic support, inclusive of students with special needs should continue to implement differentiated instruction strategies to increase students' transfer of knowledge. Professional development in the use of research-based instructional programs such as Mathematics Navigator, Ramp-Up Mathematics, Learnia, and Study Island will be provided. Student progress will be monitored at both the district and school levels.

The district will continue to look closely at programs in place, transitions from elementary to middle and from middle to high school mathematics, and alignment of the curriculum to the newly adopted Common Core Standards for Mathematics, in preparation for implementation as required by the timetable provided by NJDOE.

